UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,316	02/22/2006	Jean-Sebastien Garrigue	REGIM33083	7860
	7590 11/24/200 /ID, LITTENBERG,	EXAMINER		
KRUMHOLZ &	& MENTLIK		VU, JAKE MINH	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/569,316	GARRIGUE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jake M. Vu	1618
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 and 17-21 is/are v 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-10 and 12-16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	vithdrawn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	- Va minor
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/17/09.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/569,316 Page 2

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Restriction Requirement Response filed

on 07/13/2009; and Information Disclosure Statement filed on 02/17/2009.

Claims 1-21 are pending in the instant application.

Claim 11 is drawn to non-elected specie.

• Claims 11, 17-21 are withdrawn from consideration.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-16) and species election of "anti-cancer

drug" and "Pgp inhibitor" in the reply filed on 07/13/2009 is acknowledged. Because

applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the

restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse

(MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which

papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a

utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

Application/Control Number: 10/569,316 Page 3

Art Unit: 1618

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Note, please provide the heading: **(h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S)** into the specification and any other deficiency.

Claim Objections

Claims 4-10, 12-16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend on another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-10, 12-16 are not been further treated on the merits.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

QUAY et al (WO 98/30205) in view of SCHWARTZ (US 2003/0072798) and DESANTIS

et al (US 5,627,209).

Applicant's claims are directed to a composition comprising of: a low solubility in

water therapeutic agent; vitamin E; co-solvent, such as ethanol; tyloxapol; and an acidic

pH adjuster.

QUAY teaches a composition comprised of: a low solubility in water therapeutic

agent, such as paclitaxel (see pg. 42, Example 29), which is an anti-cancer drug; alpha-

tocopherol (see pg. 42, Example 29) which is vitamin E; co-solvent, such as ethanol

(see pg. 42, Example 29); and an acidifying agent (see p. 17, line 25), which reads on

acidic pH adjuster. Additional disclosure includes: tocopherol amounts of 6% could be

used (see pg. 27, Example 10); co-surfactants, such as Pluronics and Poloxamer 47

(see pg. 9, line 15-26; and pg. 27, Example 10).

QUAY does not teach using a surfactant, such as tyloxapol.

Application/Control Number: 10/569,316 Page 5

Art Unit: 1618

SCHWARZ teaches elf-emulsifying dosage form for improved delivery of poorly soluble hydrophobic compound (see title), wherein surfactants commonly used are tocopherol, copolymers, poloxamer (see [0038]), and tyloxapol (see [0062] and [0063]).

DESANTIS teaches surfactants and co-solvents are Pluronics and tyloxapol (see col. 4, line 1-9) are commonly used with poorly soluble drugs.

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a co-surfactant such as tyloxapol into QUAY's composition. The person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make those modifications and reasonably would have expected success because tyloxapol is a surfactant functional equivalent of poloxamers.

Telephonic Inquiries

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jake M. Vu whose telephone number is (571)272-8148. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Tue and Thu-Fri 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jake M. Vu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1618